

APPROVED

OCSiAl Vice President

Head of the Department of Polymer  
Materials

Ilin E.S.

October, 2018

## TEST REPORT

**Evaluation of TUBALL™ MATRIX 601\602 concentrates to  
achieve volume resistivity below 100 Ohm\*cm with targeted  
dosage of TUBALL™ 0.5% in high viscous LSR**

Laboratory assistant for chemical  
analysis

Klimova V.N.

October, 2018

Laboratory assistant for chemical  
analysis

Yuzhakov Y.A.

October, 2018

Laboratory technician

Lyamisheva E.G.

October, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL

## PURPOSE OF RESEARCH

Achieving the specified resistance level less than 100  $\Omega$ -cm at the targeted concentration of TUBALL™ 0.5 wt. % in LSR through MATRIX 601 and 602 concentrates in high viscous LSR.

Evaluation of physical and mechanical properties in comparison with the referent LSR base.

## EXPERIMENTAL PART

### 1.1. TESTING

#### STANDARDS

Electrical resistance:

ASTM D257 – DC Resistance or Conductance of insulating materials

ASTM D991-89 – Standard Test Method for Rubber Property—Volume Resistivity Of Electrically Conductive and Antistatic Products.

Shore A Hardness:

ASTM D2240 - Standard Test Method for Rubber Property—Durometer Hardness.

Tensile parameters:

ASTM D412 - Standard Test Methods for Vulcanized Rubber and Thermoplastic Elastomers—Tension.

#### EQUIPMENT

Measurement of electrical resistivity was conducted using: a direct current source "DC POWER SUPPLY HY 5003D", a voltmeter "MNIPI B7-72", and picoammeter "MNIPI A2-4" (for low resistance below  $10^6$  ohm-cm - 4-point method), and teraohmmeter TO-3 cable (for high impedances starting at  $10^4$  ohm-cm - 2-point method).

Tensile properties – Shimadzu AGS-5kNXD.

*Rheometric data – Rheo-line MultiFunction Auto-test.*

Shore A hardness – Zwick roell digital shore hardness tester

### 1.2. MATERIALS

- TUBALL™ MATRIX™ 601 (10% TUBALL™, 90% PDMS)
- TUBALL™ MATRIX™ 602 (10% TUBALL™, 90% Vinyl terminated PDMS)
- Two component LSR - SQUARE® LIM 3900-30A/B (high viscosity more than 600 000 cPs)
  - Cured rubber samples of LSR (dimensions of the rubber sheets 14.5×14.5×0.2 cm).

### 1.3. EQUIPMENT AND PREPARATION OF SAMPLES

Standard concentrates were tested (TUBALL™ MATRIX™ 601 and TUBALL™ MATRIX™ 602).

CONFIDENTIAL

Mixing of TUBALL™ MATRIX was carried on three roll mill (Sower S-150) into Part A and Part B separately –2 cycles with the gap size between the rollers 20/10 μm, where 20 is the gap between feeding and central rolls and 10 is the gap between the central and apron rolls. Then mix compounded Part A and Part B together via three roll mill – 5 cycles with the gap size between the rollers 20/10 μm.

Sample of the compounded LSR - 100 g. Calculation of the ingredients for the each sample presented in a Table 1.

**Table 1.** Calculation of the ingredients 0.5 wt.% TUBALL™

Component	Content (for 100 g sample)	
	%	Weight, g
10 wt.% concentrates TUBALL™ MATRIX	5	5
LSR Part A	47.5	47.5
LSR Part B	47.5	47.5

The vulcanization was carried out by hot pressing in a steel polished mold with a pressure of 200 kgf / cm<sup>2</sup> with a temperature of 180 ° C for 3 minutes.

The post-vulcanization process is not carried out according to Technical datasheet.

CONFIDENTIAL

## 2. RESULTS

### 2.1 Electrical resistivity

To achieve the targeted resistivity with the dosage of active TUBALL content 0.5% standard MATRIX grades was tested as well as prototypes of the products based on TUBALL and silicone oils with improved electrical properties.

Targeted VR, $\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$	TUBALL™ Concentrate	Volume resistivity, $x\pm\Delta \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$
Below 100	TUBALL™ MATRIX 601	40 ± 10
	TUBALL™ MATRIX 602	38 ± 10

Table 2. Electrical resistivity of post-cured LSR samples with 0.5 wt.% TUBALL™ - ASTM D 257

### 2.3 Mechanical properties

#### 2.3.1 Shore A hardness

Sample	Shore A Hardness, pts
Referent LIM-3900/30 (base)	33
LIM-3900/30 5% TUBALL™ MATRIX 601	45
LIM-3900/30 5% TUBALL™ MATRIX 602	47

Table 3. Shore A hardness - ASTM D2240

#### 2.3.2 Tensile strength

Sample	M <sub>50</sub> , MPa	M <sub>100</sub> , MPa	M <sub>300</sub> , MPa	M <sub>500</sub> , MPa	Tensile Strength, $\Delta$ MPa	Elongation at break, %
Referent LIM-3900/30 (base)	0.43	0.78	2.57	5,.1	5.98	570
LIM-3900/30 5% TUBALL™ MATRIX 601	0.74	1.11	2.63	4.87	6.71	598
LIM-3900/30 5% TUBALL™ MATRIX 602	0.80	1.22	3.10	4.61	5.11	514

Table 4. Tensile parameters - ASTM D412

## CONCLUSIONS

Resistivity below 100  $\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$  was achieved for TUBALL™ dosage 0.5% or 5 wt. % of concentrates TUBALL™ MATRIX 601 and 602.

Based on mechanical properties analysis, the following conclusions could be noted:

- 1) Shore A hardness for all samples increased by an average of 42% compared to the referent silicone base;
- 2) tensile strength for TUBALL™ MATRIX 602 is comparable or maintains with the data for the referent silicone base and increases by 12% with TUBALL™ MATRIX 601;
- 3) 50, 100, 300 modulus are increases by 56% on average with all TUBALL™ based products. TUBALL™ MATRIX 602 has a higher improvement effect to mechanical modulus due to vinyl content, compare to TUBALL™ MATRIX 601.
- 4) elongation at break for compounds remained at the level of the referent silicone with a change of less than 10%;

*Please do mention that an exact impact to particular LSR type should be evaluated in experimental way.*